Jeff Doyle and Associates Inc

IPv6 Address Design

A Few Practical Principles

Texas IPv6 Summit
14 September, 2011

Abandon IPv4 Thinking!

- Foremost IPv4 address design consideration: Address Conservation
- Balancing act between:
  - Number of subnets
  - Number of hosts on *each* subnet
- Result: VLSM
  - Complex
  - Hard to manage
- Legacy "class" categories still sometimes used in IPv4
  - Outdated and misleading
- No such thing as subnet masks in IPv6
  - CIDR-style prefix length notation always used

2001:db8:1234:abcd:5401:3c:15:85/48

IPv6 Global Unicast Address Structure



Jeff Doyle and Associates Inc

How Big is the IPv6 Address Space?

- IPv4 developed 1973 – 1977
  - 2^{32} = 4.3 billion addresses
  - More than anyone could possibly use!
- IPv6 developed mid-1990s
  - 2^{128} = 3.4 x 10^{38} addresses
  - More than anyone could possibly use?



Galaxy UDFj-39546284

Jeff Doyle and Associates Inc

How Big is the IPv6 Address Space?

- IPv4 developed 1973 – 1977
  - 2^{32} = 4.3 billion addresses
  - More than anyone could possibly use!
- IPv6 developed mid-1990s
  - 2^{128} = 3.4 x 10^{38} addresses



Galaxy UDFj-39546284

Some Perspective:

1 picometer = 10^{-12} (one trillionth) meter

2^{32} picometers = 4.29 millimeters
- length of a small ant

2^{128} picometers = 3.4 x 10^{23} kilometers
- 34 billion light years
- Furthest visible object in universe: 13.2B LYs

In Practical Terms…

- Typical IPv6 prefix assignments:
  - Service provider (LIR): /32 → 2^{32} /64 subnets
  - Large end user: /48 → 65,536 /64 subnets
  - Small end user: /56 → 256 /64 subnets
  - SOHO: /64 or /60 → 1 or 16 /64 subnets
- Address conservation is *not* a major consideration
  - Is this wasteful?
  - Yes! (But that's okay)
- If you don't have enough subnets, you don't have the right prefix allocation

Jeff Doyle and Associates Inc

What Do I Get in Exchange for Waste?

- Simplicity
  - One-size-fits-all subnets
- Manageability
  - Hex is much easier to interpret at binary level than decimal
- Scalability
  - Room to grow
- Flexibility
  - Room to change

Jeff Doyle and Associates Inc

Designing for Simplicity

- Start by mapping “working” bits
  - Generally the bits between assigned prefix and Interface-ID
- Group by hex digit
  - 4 bits per hex digit
- Define “meanings” you need to operate
  - Geographic area? Logical topology? Type designation? User ID?
- Try to keep “meanings” on hex boundaries
  - Defined meanings will then be some multiple of 2^{4n}
  - Ex: 16, 256, 4096, 65,536…
- Don’t get carried away with meanings
  - No need for 10 layers of address hierarchy if 4 will do

Jeff Doyle and Associates Inc

Designing for Simplicity (continued)

- Use zero space as much as possible
  - Which address is easier to read?
    - 2001:DB8:2405:83FC:72A6:3452:19ED:4727
    - 2001:DB8:2405:C::27
- Benefit: Operations quickly learns to focus on meaningful bits
  - Ignore public prefix (usually)
  - Ignore Interface-ID (usually)
  - A few hex digits tell operations most of what they need to know

Jeff Doyle and Associates Inc

Designing for Scale

- Leave “zero” space whenever possible
  - Designate as Reserved
- Insert between “meaningful” digits or bits
  - Allows future expansion in two directions

Jeff Doyle and Associates Inc

Designing for Efficiency



By putting the bits most likely to be of interest to filters high in the bit order of the address, filter rules can be simplified

Jeff Doyle and Associates Inc

Designing for the Future

- Trying to anticipate the unanticipated
  - A challenge for any kind of design
- Another reason for well-placed Reserved (zero) space
  - Horizontal Reserved space
  - Vertical Reserved space
- Do not integrate IPv4 into an IPv6 design!
  - Reading IPv4 in hex is (almost) meaningless
  - IPv4 will (eventually) go away

Jeff Doyle and Associates Inc

What About Point-to-Point Links?

- 180 million trillion addresses in a /64 link
  - And I will only *ever* use 2 of them?
  - Are you kidding???
- People have a very hard time accepting this
  - Again: This is not IPv4!
  - What else are you going to do with those addresses?
- It's a matter of comprehending the scale
  - 500 out of 2^{64} is not really any bigger than 2 out of 2^{64}

Jeff Doyle and Associates Inc

Point-to-Point Subnets

- Reasons for using /64
  - RFC 3627
  - RFC 5375 => /64 usage endorsed and encouraged
  - Design consistency
  - Anycast problems are not significant on PtP links
    - Subnet-Router Ancast
    - MIPv6 Home Agent Anycast
- Reasons for using /127
  - RFC 6164
  - Ping-pong vulnerability
    - This is an issue with older version of ICMPv6 (RFC 2463)
    - Issue is corrected in newer version of ICMPv6 (RFC 4443)
    - Vendors: Upgrade your code!
  - Neighbor cache exhaustion vulnerability
- Don't use /126
  - This is IPv4 thinking
  - "Subnet number" is meaningless in IPv6
  - IPv6 does not use broadcast addresses
- Potential compromise:
  - Assign /64 per PtP subnet
  - Address /127 out of the /64

Jeff Doyle and Associates Inc

What About Provider Independence?

- There is (currently) no NAT66
- PI address assignment rules (varies by RIR):
  - Must not be an LIR
  - Must be an end site
  - Must have previously justified a PI IPv4 assignment; or
  - Must currently be multihomed with IPv4; or
    - And have an assigned ASN
    - Proposals to end this requirement
  - Will make active use of 2000 IPv6 addresses within 12 months; or
  - Will make active use of 200 /64s within 12 months; or
  - Technical justification why cannot use assignment from LIR
- PI assignment: One or more /48s
  - Larger based on number of sites
- Micro-allocations available for *critical Internet infrastructure*

Jeff Doyle and Associates Inc

Link Local vs Global Unicast

- Some conflict of interpretation
  - Static route next hops
  - BGP peering
- IPv6 says use link local for direct connections
- Accepted practice is to use global unicast
- Recommendation: Stick with accepted practice
  - Link-local harder to manage
  - Interface changes can change link-local address

Other Issues

- DNS design and management is critical
  - DNS issues are well documented
- IP Address Management is critical
  - IPv6 design is not easy to manage via spreadsheets
  - Good luck finding integrated DNS and DHCPv6 management
- Abandon IPv4 thinking!

Jeff Doyle and Associates Inc

Questions?

jdoyle@doyleassociates.net

www.doyleassociates.net

+1-303-428-4680

Jeff Doyle and Associates Inc